



MONASH University

Detectors for Synchrotron Radiation

Rob Lewis

📮 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐰 MONASH University

Factors Limiting Science

- Detectors are an oftneglected but crucial part of an experiment
 They often limit the
 - science



Scientist's View of Detector



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

The Truth!



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Detection Mechanisms

There are many means of detection. All require the interaction of photons with matter

Examples include

- ♦ Gas ionisation
 - Photons produce electrons and ions which are then detected
 - E.g. Ion chambers, proportional counters
- Photoelectric effect
 - Photons eject electrons from a solid creating a current which is measured
 - E.g.. Beam monitors
- Generation of electron hole pairs
 - Photons produce electrons and holes in a semiconductor which are then detected
 - E.g.. CCD
- Fluorescence, scintillation and F centres
 - Photons produce prompt fluorescence or F centres
 - E.g. Image plates and Scintillation counters
- Chemical effect
 - Photons create a chemical change such as dissociating Ag halide
 - E.g. Film

SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University 🖓 MONASH University

Albert Einstein



Germany and Switzerland Kaiser-Wilhelm-Institut (now Max-Planck-Institut) für Physik Berlin-Dahlem, Germany **1879 - 1955**



Nobel prize in physics 1921

"for his services to Theoretical Physics, and especially for his discovery of the law of the photoelectric effect"

Photoelectric Effect



Arthur Holly Compton



Nobel prize in physics 1927

"for his discovery of the effect named after him"



University of Chicago Chicago, IL, USA **1892 - 1962**

Compton Effect



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN RONASH University

An Example Detector



Echidna

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Ionisation Chamber



Very simple device

- Approximately 1 e⁻ ion pair per 30eV deposited
- Important that recombination low as possible
 - Higher voltages required at higher rates since more carriers
 - Diffusion losses caused by separation of carriers minimised by higher voltages
 - Plates too close cause electron losses

Ion chambers are sensitive to pressure and temperature

Operation regions of gas filled detectors



E

Field Variation



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

Avalanche & Proportional Counter



🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🞇 MONASH University

Georges Charpak



France École Supérieure de Physique et Chimie Paris, France; CERN Geneva, Switzerland

b. 1924 (in Dabrovica, Poland)



Nobel prize in physics 1992

"for his invention and development of particle detectors, in particular the multiwire proportional chamber"

Multi-wire Proportional Counter



Counting and Integrating

- If there is sufficient signal produced by the interaction of a photon or a particle in the detector then it is possible to operate the detector as a counter
- It's all about signal to noise ratio!

SNR = 100



🖏 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🞇 MONASH University

SNR = 1



🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🧏 MONASH University

Counting and Integrating

Usually SNR is insufficient and we have to accumulate many photons/particles before the signal becomes measurable

Counting & Integrating SNR =100



🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🦝 MONASH University

Counting & Integrating SNR = 1



SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

Integrating Detectors

Mode

 Measures deposited energy at end of integration period

Characteristics

- High input flux capability
- Read noise dominates at low signal ("fog level")
- Dead time between frames
- 2×20 keV phts = 1×40 keV photon i.e. Cannot perform simultaneous spectroscopy and positioning
- Examples: Image plates, CCDs



Photon Counting Detectors

Mode

 Detects every photon as it arrives. Only active pixels read

Characteristics

- Quantum limited, Detector noise often negligible
- No dead time between frames
- Can measure position and energy simultaneously
- Limited input flux capability
- Examples: Prop counters, Scintillators



Input flux

Types of Detectors



Crimson Rosella and King Parrot

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🞇 MONASH University

X-ray Film

- Active Ingredient
 - Small crystals of silver halide $\sim 1.0 1.5 \mu m$
 - Typically 90-99% silver bromide and 1-10% silver iodide.
 - Suspended in the gelatin of the film emulsion.
 - Crystals have a cubic lattice with many point defects and free silver ions
- **Exposure**
 - A photon liberates an electron from a bromide ion
 - The electron travels until trapped at a defect
 - A free silver ion is attracted to the negative charge and combines (is reduced) to form an atom of metallic silver (which is optically black).
 - The single silver atom acts as an electron trap for another electron which then attracts another atom of silver which is then reduced to metallic silver. This process continues while the exposure to light continues.
- Conventional film is usually coated with emulsion on only one side, radiographic film is usually double coated (on each side of the base) to be used with intensifying screens.



Intensifying Screens

- An intensifying screen converts x-ray energy into light energy
- X-rays are absorbed by the phosphor
- The phosphor becomes excited & fluoresces emitting UV and/or visible light
- For every x-ray photon absorbed, hundreds of light photons are emitted
- The use of intensifying screens inevitably means that certain degree of unsharpness will be introduced into the image in comparison to nonscreen film



Willard S. Boyle & George E. Smith



Nobel prize in physics 2009

"for the invention of an imaging semiconductor circuit – the CCD sensor"

123

Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, NJ, USA



SASKATCHEWAN 🧟 MONASH University

Charge Coupled Device





🖏 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🦝 MONASH University

CCD Readout



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

CCD Readout

- Charge is moved from pixel to pixel by clocking
- Each pixel has a limited capacitance (well depth) typically 10⁴-10⁵ e⁻
- This limits dynamic range for direct detection
 - 10keV photon creates ~ $3000e^{-}$ so saturation = ~ 10 photons
- Speed of clocking is restricted by line capacitance and charge transfer efficiency
 - Size of CCD restricted by this
- Noise can be reduced by cooling
- Amplifier usually on chip
 - Heats up that part of chip

CCDs

62mm



Although sizes > 50mm are available, the read speed is slow to preserve low noise and cte (line capacitance becomes very high) Shutter required

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Complimentary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS)

CMOS Imager



 A readout amplifier transistor on each pixel converts charge to voltage
Allows random access to pixels, similar to the rowcolumn memory cell access in RAM

CMOS vs CCD

- Traditionally CCD higher sensitivity and lower noise
- Modern lithography means they are now similar
- CMOS sensors can have much more functionality on-chip than CCDs
 - On chip image processing, edge detection, noise reduction, and analog to digital conversion
- CMOS lower power \rightarrow less heat \rightarrow less noise

Use with X-rays



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐹 MONASH University

TV detector with IIT



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

Computed Radiography-Image Plate



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

X-Y Flat bed Scanner



Distributed Light Collection



TFT Flat panel Detector



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐹 MONASH University
a-Si:H TFT arrays



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

a-Si:H Array dpiX - Flashscan 30



SASKATCHEWAN MONASH University



PILATUS 6M Detector









Ch. Brönnimann, E. Eikenberry, B.Schmitt, M. Naef, G. Hülsen (SLS); R. Horisberger, S. Streuli (TEM); Ch. Buehler (LOG); F. Glaus (LMN); M. Horisberger (LNS)

PILATUS 6M Detector





- Reverse-biased silicon diode array
- Thickness 320 μm
- Pixel size 172 x 172 μ m²
- **2463 x 2527 = 6,224,001 pixels**
- Area 431 x 448 mm²
- Intermodule gap x: 7 pixels, y: 17 pixels,
 8.4% of total area
- Dynamic range 20 bits (1:1,048,576)
- Counting rate per pixel > $2 \times 10^6 \text{ X-ray/s}$
- Energy range 3 30 keV
- Quantum efficiency (calculated)
 - 3 keV: 80% 8 keV: 99% 15 keV: 55%
- Energy resolution 500 eV
- Adjustable threshold range 2 20 keV Threshold dispersion 50 eV
- Readout time 3.6 ms
- Framing rate 12 Hz
- Point-spread function 1 pixel

🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🧏 MONASH University

PILATUS 6M Detector





 X-ray diffraction image recorded from a ferritin crystal (energy=16 keV, distance = 204 mm).



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Spectroscopic Detectors



Rainbow Lorikeets



Spectroscopic Detectors

- For quantitative work, most are counting detectors that measure the size of individual energy deposits
- Alternative ids the use of filters as in optical colour cameras

Electron multipliers & Scintillators





Channeltron is a similar with distributed dynode

Micro-channel plates are mutlichannel channeltrons with each channel being an electron multiplier.



Multi Channel Spectoscopic Detectors





Canberra Ultra-LEGe detector

WRULEAD (Windowless, Retractable, Ultra Low Energy Array Detector) works down to 300eV

Multichannel devices up to 30 channels at 3×10^5 cts s⁻¹ channel⁻¹ have been built

SPring-8 128 channel Ge strip





Ge ◆ 55.5×50.5×6mm **Strips** Number 128 300µm • Width • Interstrip 50µm Length 5mm Readout Single channel 100ns ♦ 32 channels 3.2ms Max expected count rate ♦ 14kcps

🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🎇 MONASH University

Spectral Resolution

- Average number of carriers, N = E/wwhere w is energy to create electron hole/ion pair
- Poisson statistics $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{N}$
 - $= (E/w)^{-1/2} = (w/E)^{1/2}$
- $\Delta E/E$ fwhm= 2.355 σ
 - $= 2.355 (w/E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

For Ge, w= 3eV so at 10keV ΔE/E ~ 4%
For NaI, w= 30eV so at 10keV ΔE/E ~ 13%

Fano Factor

- If all energy from photon or particle were converted into carriers there would be no variance
- Poisson statistics assume only a small fraction of energy goes into charge creation
- Reality is somewhere in between so we introduce Fano factor F
- Fano factor is defined as $F = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu}$ where σ^2 is the variance and μ is the mean number of carriers
- For a Poisson process, the variance equals the mean, so F = 1
- Examples
 - Si: 0.115
 Ge: 0.13
 GaAs: 0.10
 Diamond: 0.08

• Observed relative variance = F x Poisson relative variance

Scintillator vs Germanium



The top spectrum is from a scintillation detector, and the bottom is from a germanium semiconductor detector. The superior energy resolution of the germanium is evident from the much narrower peaks, allowing separation of gamma-ray energies that are unresolved in the scintillator spectrum.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🞇 MONASH University

Things to Look Out For



Crocodile





UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

Response to Uniform Illumination



ESRF TV Detector Thompson IIT & CCD



SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Gaps



Spec	0.2mm max
Worst gap	2.97mm
Pixels in gaps	513922 5.45%

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Graded Absorber Comparison

Mar Image Plate

ESRF-Thompson IIT / CCD

Daresbury MWPC



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN RONASH University

Spatial distortion



ESRF Image intensifier detector

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN RONASH University

IPlate Single Peak PSF



SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Parallax Broadening



SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Parallax Effect

Image Plate

Gas Proportional Counter



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐺 MONASH University

Daresbury High Pressure MWPC



Force on 28 x 28 cm window at 5 bar = 4 tonnes Force on window of 1 x 1 cm at 5 bar = 5 kg









RAPID2 SAX WAX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University



Overlaps



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Dark Currents





Flat and Dark Correction

For each image, two correction images must be recorded.

1. A flat field (uniform illumination of the detector)

2. A dark image (no irradiation of detector)

Both must be recorded with the same exposure time as the original image since dark current is a function of exposure time.

Then apply the following correction

$$Corrected = \frac{(image - dark)}{(flat - dark)}$$

SASKATCHEWAN MONASH University

Dark Current

Pixels above the 0.2 photons pix⁻¹ specification



Number failing 2 measurements 5-2000s

Mean	44764	0.47%
Min	40822	0.43%
Max	48706	0.52%
nb. 14300 pixels not common to both		

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University





Subtraction of dark images



Flashscan 30 - Image Lag



🔄 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 💹 MONASH University

Radiation Damage (Medipix)

- Damage occurred at 40Gy or 1.3×10¹⁰pht/mm² in the readout chip
- At 13 keV photon energy
 - Strong diffraction spots typically 10⁵ phts/s or 10⁶ phts/mm2/s
 - Damage requires ~ 8hours exposure
 - Direct beam (10¹⁰–10¹³ photons/mm²/s)
 - Damage in less than a second.

dpiX Flashscan 30 PaxScan 4030



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN MONASH University

Flashscan 30 - Performance

Mar Image Plate

Flashscan-30



 $t_{int} = 30s$

t_{int}=190s

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Electronics Issues



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐰 MONASH University

Albino Kookaburra

Amplification



- Voltage mode
 - Output ∞ input voltage
 - Effect of R_f dominates C_f
- Current mode
 - ♦ Output ∞ input current
 - Low input impedance
- Charge mode
 - Output ∞ input charge
 - C_f dominates R_f

- In almost all cases we require amplification
- Amplifier-detector interaction is critical
- Most important element is the input, often a FET
- Noise is the major issue
 - Thermal or Johnson Noise
 - Brownian motion of electrons
 - No current flow required
 - White noise
 - Shot Noise
 - Fluctuations in current
 - White noise

$$\bar{i}^2 = 2q_e\bar{I}\Delta f$$

Equivalent Noise Charge

- Low noise is no use if signal is low
- Introduce ENC which is that signal charge that will produce the same output as the RMS noise

$$ENC^{2} = \exp\left(2\right)\left[\frac{kT}{2R_{g}}\tau + \frac{eI_{D}}{4}\tau + \frac{kT(C_{in})^{2}}{2g_{m}\tau}\right]$$

Where

- \mathbf{k} = Boltzman's constant
 - T = temperature
- e = the electronic charge
- \mathbf{R}_{g} = Load resistance and/or feedback resistance
- g_{m}° = transconductance of input FET. (Links current in to voltage out)
- τ = Rise time of amplifier
- C_{in} = input / stray and feedback capacitance
- Note that ENC is directly related to energy resolution
- FWHM(keV) = 2.355×10^{-3} ENC/ew where w is the energy per electron
Noise Dependence $ENC^{2} = e^{2} \left[\frac{kT}{2R_{f}} \tau + \frac{q_{e}I_{D}}{4} \tau + \frac{kT(C_{in})^{2}}{2g_{m}\tau} \right]$

• τ optimum at

$$\tau_{opt} = \left[\frac{kT/2g_m}{(kT/2R_f) + (q_e I_D/4)}\right]^2 C_{in}$$

- Choosing optimum τ gives best noise performance but may not be fast enough
- We often have to sacrifice energy resolution for speed

Optimum τ

$$ENC_{\min}^{2} = 2\exp(2)\left[\left(\frac{kT}{2R_{g}}\right) + \left(\frac{eI_{D}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{kTC_{in}^{2}}{2g_{m}}\right)\right]^{2}$$

- **R**_g as large as possible ~ $10^{10}\Omega$
- I_D (leakage) as small as possible
 - ♦ For Ge cooling is vital
- Low T is good
- C_{in} as small as possible (note that this includes C_f)
- \blacksquare g_m as large as possible but this affects C_{in}



- Shannon's Theorem and Nyquist Criterion
 - The highest frequency that can be measured is twice the sampling frequency
- If the input is not band limited to frequencies less than $f_s/2$, then aliasing will occurs at frequencies $f \pm nf_s$
 - where f = signal frequency, fs = sampling frequency, n = integer
- If you have $100\mu m$ pixels, ideal PSF > $200\mu m$

Synchrotron Detectors

- A synchrotron source is used primarily when sensitivity is an issue
 - Signal too weak
 - ♦ Time resolution too poor
 - ♦ Sample too small
- More intensity can help this but...
- It places a major strain on detectors and Flux is a major issue!



 R_i =input rate, R_d =detected rate, τ dead time

■ Non-paralysable

- Fraction of time detector is dead = $R_d \tau$
- Live time is therefore = $1 R_d \tau$
- Input rate = $R_i = R_d / (1 R_d \tau)$

Paralysable

- R_d = Probability of getting no event within τ of an event $P(n,t) = \frac{e^{-R_i t} (R_i t)^n}{n}$
- Probability of n events in time t is

Detected rate $R_d = P(0, \tau) = R_i e^{-R_i \tau}$





EDR Detector for Powder Diffraction



Spectral Peak Shift vs Rate



Detector Considerations

Intensity Measurement

- Uniformity across device
- Ageing, radiation damage
- Dynamic Range
- Linearity of Response
- ♦ Stability
- Spatial Measurement
 - Spatial Resolution
 - Spatial Distortion
 - ♦ Parallax

- Energy Measurement
 - Spectral Resolution
 - Linearity of Response
 - Uniformity of Response
 - ♦ Stability
- Time Measurement
 - Frame Rate
 - Photon Time Resolution
- Others
 - Size and weight
 - Cost

A Universal Specification?



Wombat

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN MONASH University

Counting Statistics

- Photons are quantised and hence subject to probabilities
- The Poisson distribution expresses the probability of a number of events, k occurring relative to an expected number, n k = -n

$$P(n,k) = \frac{n^k e^{-n}}{k!}$$

- The mean of P(n, k) is n
- The variance of P(n, k) is n
- The standard deviation or error (noise) is \sqrt{n}
- If signal = n, then $SNR = n/\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n}$
- As n increases, SNR improves

Performance Measure - DQE

Perfect detector

Real detector

$$SNR_{inc} = \sqrt{N_{inc}} \quad \therefore N_{inc} = SNR^{2}_{inc}$$
$$SNR_{Non-ideal} < \sqrt{N_{inc}}$$

Can define $N_{\mbox{\scriptsize photons}}$ that describes real SNR

 $NEQ = SNR^2$ _{Non-ideal}

Ratio of this to N_{inc} is a measure of efficiency $DQE = \frac{NEQ}{N_{inc}} = \frac{SNR^2_{Non-ideal}}{SNR_{inc}^2}$

Note that DQE is f(spatial and spectral frequencies)

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🞇 MONASH University

Effect of Peak Width



DQE Comparison

DN-5 beam 2.6µGy



🚭 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🧏 MONASH University

To Count or Not to Count



Tasmanian Devil



Collagen 100s Exposure



Collagen 10s Exposure



Collagen 0.3s Exposure



Cornell PAD (Integrating)

Rapid Framing Imager

- ♦ 15×13.8mm² active area
- ♦ 150µm square pixel
- Storage for 8 frames

Input Stage

• Selectable T_{int} down to 1µs



+60V





SMONASH University

Sol Gruner, Cornell

Diesel Fuel Injection Movie

Injection

- Supersonic injection 1350psi Cerium added
- Chamber 1 atm SF_6
- 10⁸-10⁹ X-rays/s/pix (6keV)
- 1.1ms Pulse

Movie

- Length
- Frame length
- Dead time
- 168 frames (21 groups of 8)
- Average 20× to improve S/N
- Sequence

1.3ms

- 5.13µs
- $2.56\mu s$ / frame
- 5×10⁴ images



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

A. MacPhee et al, Science (2002) 295, 1761-1763

The Future



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN TO MONASH University

A Detector System



SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

Pixel Array Detector

B

- A. Top electrode
- B. Pixellated semiconductor
- **C.** Collection electrodes

E

F

D. Bump bonds

C

- **E.** Input electrode
- F. Pixellated ASIC

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SMONASH University

A

The Problem of Multiple Scatters



• Need to measure E_0 • $E_0 = E_1 + E_2 + E_{esc}$

Must be able to detect multiple deposits as single event

Must minimise E_{esc}



Counting Pixel Detector Problems

- High power consumption
 - Cooling
- Number of connections
 - Multiplexing
 - Read out time significant
- Limited number of bits in counter
 - Dynamic range issues for diffraction
 - ♦ 15bits @ 1Mcps input rate = 30ms frame
 - Read time can be significant
 - Fast read > high power

Technology not yet good enough for microsecond framing

Available Compound Semiconductors

- Predominately CdZnTe, CdTe and GaAs.
- II-VI materials CdTe and CdZnTe cover a suitable range of band gaps:
 - 1.44 eV (CdTe), 1.57 eV (CdZnTe, 10% Zn), 1.64 eV (CdZnTe, 20% Zn)
- Resistivity of CdZnTe is higher than CdTe, hence lower dark current, higher spectroscopic resolution
- Poor hole transport requires electron-sensitive detectors





Paul Sellin, Surrey

Absorption Efficiency of Semiconductors



SASKATCHEWAN 🐯 MONASH University

Paul Sellin, Surrey



References

Delaney CFG and Finch EC

 Radiation detectors. Physical Principles and Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1992, ISBN 0 19 853923 1

Knoll GE

- Radiation Detection and Measurement, John Wiley and Sons 2000
- Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on position sensitive detectors
 - Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Volume 573, Issues 1-2, Pages 1-322
- IEEE Nuclear Science Symposia